

Rules”), only if a candidate fully meets all of the indicators set for the in art. 8 para. (2)-(5) of Law No. 26/2022 does the candidate satisfy the criterion of “ethical and financial integrity.”

III. Evaluation of the candidate

The Commission evaluated the following financial and ethical integrity issues in accordance with art. 12 para. (3) of Law No. 26/2022 based on the information gathered by the Commission:

1. Imbalance of wealth in 2016

a. The facts

The Commission established the following incoming and outgoing financial flows for 2016 for the candidate:

Income MDL 2016		Expenses MDL 2016	
Savings from 2015	24,346 MDL	Payment of instalment	197,892 MDL
Salary	123,618 MDL	CEP ¹	33,828 MDL
Interests from bank deposit	341 MDL	Savings in 2016	299,742 MDL
Donation from parents	197,892 MDL		
Total	346,197 MDL	Total	531,462 MDL
Difference	-185,265 MDL		

The above calculation is done in line with the “Annex: Unjustified wealth” of the Commission’s Evaluation Rules. The Commission calculated the consumption expenditures for population as per para. 3.5 of said Annex.

According to information from the State Fiscal Service, in 2016 the candidate had income from her activity as a judge in the net amount of 123,618 MDL. According to the candidate’s 2016 annual declaration submitted to the National Integrity Authority (hereinafter “annual declaration”), the candidate had additional interest income of 341 MDL on her bank savings and a donation from her parents in the amount of 197,892 MDL. The candidate declared 24,346 MDL as savings from 2015.

In response to the Commission’s first round of written questions, the candidate provided an investment contract from 2015 and proof of payments on this investment contract for an apartment in Chişinău (hereinafter “2015 Chişinău apartment”). According to the documentation, in 2016 the amount of 197,892 MDL was paid on the contract. The Consumption Expenditures

¹ Consumption expenditures for one person based on National Bureau of Statistics (NBS) data.

