

the right of use was declared, the Commission considers that these are omissions of a more technical nature, that they do not demonstrate any intention to hide a financial benefit and do not amount to a serious doubt. Although the Commission has doubts about the veracity of the purchase price of the Toyota Prius, this transaction was undertaken by her father-in-law, the candidate was not involved in the transaction and the candidate and her husband did not contribute financially to the purchase of the car and thus, would not be considered its *de facto* owners. As to the source of funds for her parents-in-law to finance the purchase of this car, the candidate provided sufficient explanations about the different sources used for this purchase and thereby mitigated any concerns the Commission might have had.

In light of above circumstances, the Commission does not have serious doubts (art. 13 para. (5) of Law No. 26/2022) about the compliance of the candidate with the criteria of financial and ethical integrity as per art. 8 para. (2) lit. c), para. (4) lit. a) and para. (5) lit. b) with respect to the candidate's declarations relating to the purchase of one car and the right of use of two cars by her husband belonging to her parents-in-law.

IV. Decision

Based on art. 8 para. (1), (2) and (4) and art. 13 para. (5) of Law No. 26/2022, the Commission decided that the candidate is compliant with the ethical and financial integrity criteria and thus passes the evaluation.

V. Appeal and publication of the decision

Pursuant to art. 14 para. (1) of Law No. 26/2022, the candidate is entitled to appeal this decision within 5 days from receiving the decision.

Pursuant to art. 13 para. (5) of Law No. 26/2022, this decision is sent by email to the candidate and to the institution responsible for organizing the election or competition, which in the present case is the Superior Council of Prosecutors. If within 48 hours of sending the decision, the candidate does not notify the Commission of his or her refusal to publish the decision, the decision shall be published on the website of the Superior Council of Prosecutors in a depersonalized form, except for the surname and first name of the candidate that remain public. The Commission will also publish the decision on its website if the candidate does not object to publication.

This decision was adopted unanimously by all participating members of the Commission.

Done in English and translated into Romanian.

Signature:



Herman von HEBEL
Chairman, Commission