

Qualification Commission] had made available the preliminary findings resulting from the conclusion of the investigation and had given access to the evidence in the case file.” Under art. 5 para. (1) of the Evaluation Rules of the Independent Evaluation Commission for assessing the integrity of candidates for the position of member in the self-administrative bodies of judges and prosecutors, pursuant to Law No. 26/2022, of 2 May 2022 (hereinafter “Evaluation Rules”), only if a candidate fully meets all of the indicators set for the in art. 8 para. (2), (3) and (4) of Law No. 26/2022 does the candidate satisfy the criterion of “ethical and financial integrity.”

III. Evaluation of the candidate

As stated above, the Commission sent the candidate a limited number of questions relating to financial issues. The candidate responded in a timely fashion and provided the Commission with all required answers and documentation. Based on the information provided by the candidate and the other information available to the Commission, the Commission has no serious doubts about the compliance of the candidate with the criterion of financial integrity as per art. 8 para. (1) and (4) of Law No. 26/2022. In addition, the Commission has no serious doubts about the compliance of the candidate with the criterion of ethical integrity as per art. 8 para. (1) and (2) of Law No. 26/2022.

IV. Decision

Based on art. 8 para. (1), (2) and (4) and art. 13 para. (5). of Law No. 26/2022, the Commission decided that the candidate is compliant with the ethical and financial integrity criteria and thus passes the evaluation.

V. Appeal and publication of the decision

Art. 14 para. (1) of Law No. 26/2022 provides that a candidate is entitled to appeal a decision of the Commission within 7 days from receiving the decision.

Art. 13 para. (7) of Law No. 26/2022 provides that this decision is sent by email to the candidate and to the institution responsible for organizing the election or competition, which in the present case is the Superior Council of Magistracy. If within 48 hours of sending the decision, the candidate does not notify the Commission of his or her refusal to publish the decision, the decision shall be published on the website of the Superior Council of Magistracy in a depersonalized form, except for the surname and first name of the candidate that remain public. The Commission will also publish the decision on its website if the candidate does not object to publication.

This decision was adopted unanimously by all participating members of the Commission.

Done in English and translated into Romanian.

Signature:



Herman von HEBEL
Chairman, Commission